IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

W.P. No 2699 of 1994

PETITIONERS : 1. Abdul Yasin, S/o late Shri Abdul Gani, aged about 45 years, Revenue Superintendent (House Tax Section), Municipal Corporation, Raipur.

2. Dilip Kumar Chakravorty, S/o late Dr. Lalit Kumar Chakravorty, aged about 45 years, Revenue Superintendent (Marketing Section), Municipal Corporation, Raipur (M.P.)

3. Rajendra Tiwari, aged about 30 years, Moharir, Municipal Corporation, Raipur (M.P.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Madhya Pradesh, through Secretary, Department of Local Self Government, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal (M.P.)

2. Municipal Corporation, Raipur through Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raipur (M.P.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

55

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.2699/94 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	14.07.2005 None appears for the petitioner. Mr. Arun Sao, learned Dy. Government Advocate for respondent No.1. None appears for the respondent No.2. The present petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, challenges the transfer order dated 27.07.1994 whereby transferring the petitioners to the post of Revenue Inspector from Municipal Corporation, Raipur to Municipal Corporation, Sagar, Satna and Durg respectively. While issuing notices on 09.08.1994, the High Court of Madhya Pradesh directed that if the petitioners were not relieved from the present place of posting, the operation of the impugned order (Annexure P-12) of their transfers was stayed until further orders. The services of the petitioners were stayed and as such relief claimed in the petition has been granted by way of interim order. The employer is always free to transfer the employee within the area except in case of malafide exercise of power etc. After a period of 11 years, it is not necessary to interfere with the order dated 27.07.1994 when the said order was stayed. The petitioner has become infructuous and as such the petition is dismissed. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Sarma